arXiv:math/0505445v1 [math.RA] 21 May 2005

SMALL INJECTIVE RINGS

L. SHEN AND J.L. CHEN

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring, a right ideal I of R is called small if for every proper right ideal K of R, $I+K \neq R$. A ring R is called right small injective if every homomorphism from a small right ideal to R_R can be extended to an R-homomorphism from R_R to R_R. Properties of small injective rings are explored and several new characterizations are given for QF rings and PF rings, respectively.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper rings are associative with identity. For a subset X of a ring R, the left annihilator of X in R is $\mathbf{l}(X) = \{r \in R : rx = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}$. For any $a \in R$, we write $\mathbf{l}(a)$ for $\mathbf{l}(\{a\})$. Right annihilators are defined analogously. We write $J = J(R)$, S_r and S_l for the Jacobson radical, the right socle and the left socle of R, respectively. $I \subseteq^{ess} R_R$ means I is an essential right ideal. $f = c \cdot (c \in R)$ means f is a map multiplied by c on the left side. For a right ideal I of R , we write I_n for the set of all $n \times 1$ matrices over I.

In this article, the definition of small injective ring is introduced. Several relations between small injectivity and other injectivities (self-injectivity, simple injectivity, F-injectivity and FP-injectivity) are given. A main theorem of Yousif and Zhou [13, Theorem 2.11] is greatly simplified and improved by Theorem 3.4. It is well known that a ring R is quasi-Frobenius (or QF) if and only if R is left or right artinian and left or right self-injective. R is right PF if it is a semiperfect, right self-injective ring with $S_r \subseteq^{ess} R_R$. Under small injective condition, we give some new characterizations of QF rings and right

Date: May 19th, 2005.

PF rings, respectively. The following conditions are proved to be equivalent in Theorem 3.8: (1) R is QF. (2) R is right (or left) perfect, right and left small injective. (3) R is a semilocal and right small injective ring with ACC (or DCC) on right annihilators. (4) R is a right small injective ring with ACC on right annihilators in which $S_r \subseteq^{ess} R_R$. (5) R is a semiregular and right small injective ring with ACC on right annihilators. It is also proved (see Theorem 3.7) that R is right PF if and only if R is a semilocal, right small injective ring with $S_r \subseteq^{ess} R_R$. Several known results are generalized as corollaries.

2. Definitions and examples

Definition 2.1. A module M_R is called small injective if every homomorphism from a small right ideal to M_R can be extended to an R-homomorphism from R_R to M_R. The left side can be defined similarly. A ring R is called right small injective if it is small injective as a right R-module. R is called small injective if it is left and right small injective.

Since J is the sum of all small right (or left) ideals of a ring R, we have

Example 2.2. Every semiprimitive ring (that is J=0) is right and left small injective.

Proposition 2.3. *The following are equivalent:*
(1) *R is semiprimitive.*
(2) *Every right (or left) R-module is small injective.*
(3) *Every principal right (or left) ideal is small injective.*

Proof. We only prove the right side, the left side is analogously. It is obvious that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Now we assume (3), if J is nonzero, then there exists a nonzero small right ideal xR which is small injective. It is clear that the inclusion map from xR to R_R is split. Thus xR is a direct summand of R, which is a contradiction. □

Proposition 2.4. *A direct product of right R-modules $M = \prod M_i$ is small injective if and only if each M_i is small injective.*

Proof. By definition. □

Proposition 2.5. *A direct product of rings $R = \prod_{i\in I} R_i$ is right small injective if and only if R_i is right small injective, $\forall i \in I$.*

Proof. Let π_i and ι_i be the ith projection and the ith inclusion canonically, $i \in I$. If R is right small injective, for each i, suppose $f_i : T_i \to R_i$ is R_i-linear where T_i is a small right ideal of R_i. Then the map $0 \times \cdots \times T_i \times \cdots \times 0 \to 0 \times \cdots \times R_i \times \cdots \times 0$ given by $(0, \cdots, t_i, \cdots, 0) \longmapsto (0, \cdots, f_i(t_i), \cdots, 0)$ is R-linear with $0 \times \cdots \times T_i \times \cdots \times 0$ a small right ideal of R, so it has the form $c\cdot$ where $c \in R$. Thus $f_i = \pi_i(c)\cdot$. Conversely, let $\gamma : T \to R$ be R-linear, where T is a small right ideal of R. Write $T_i = \{x \in R_i \mid \iota_i(x) \in T\}$, it is clear that T_i is also a small right ideal of R_i, $\forall i \in I$. Now define $\gamma_i : T_i \to R_i$ by $\gamma_i(x) = \pi_i\gamma(\iota_i(x))$, $x \in T_i, \forall i \in I$. Since R_i is right small injective, $\gamma_i = c_i\cdot$, $\forall i \in I$. Thus for each $\bar{t} = \langle t_i\rangle \in T$, write $\gamma(\bar{t}) = \bar{s} = \langle s_i\rangle$. Since T is a right ideal of R, $t_i \in T_i, \forall i \in I$. Thus $s_i = \pi_i(\bar{s} \cdot \iota_i(1_i)) = \pi_i(\gamma(\bar{t}) \cdot \iota_i(1_i)) = \pi_i\gamma(\bar{t} \cdot \iota_i(1_i)) = \pi_i\gamma(\iota_i(t_i)) = \gamma_i(t_i) = c_it_i$, whence $\bar{s} = \langle c_i\rangle \cdot \bar{t}$. So R is right small injective. □

Example 2.6. Every right self-injective ring is right small injective. But the converse is not true. For example, the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is a semiprimitive ring but not a self-injective ring.

Example 2.7. The condition that R is right small injective can not imply that R is left small injective. In [10], Osofsky constructed a ring R which is semiperfect, right self-injective, but not left self-injective. Then by Theorem 3.4, such ring is right small injective but not left small injective.

Proposition 2.8. *Let R be right small injective. If $e^2 = e \in R$ satisfies $ReR=R$, then eRe is right small injective.*

Proof. Let $S = eRe$ and $\theta : T \longrightarrow S$ be an S-linear map, where T is a small right ideal of S. Define $\bar{\theta} : TR \longrightarrow R_R$ by $\bar{\theta}(\sum t_i r_i) = \sum \theta(t_i) r_i, t_i \in T$. Now we prove that $\bar{\theta}$ is well defined. Let $\sum t_i r_i = 0$. If $r \in R$, we get $0 = \sum t_i r_i re = \sum t_i(er_i re)$, whence $0 = \sum \theta(t_i)(er_i re) = [\sum \theta(t_i) r_i]re$. Since $ReR = R$, $\sum \theta(t_i) r_i = 0$. So $\bar{\theta}$ is well defined. As $J(eRe) = eJe$, TR is a small right ideal of R. Hence $\bar{\theta} = c\cdot$, where $c \in R$. Then $\forall t \in T$, $\theta(t) = e\theta(t) = e\bar{\theta}(t) = ect = (ec)et = (ece)t$. It follows that $\theta = (ece)\cdot$, as required. □

Remark 2.9. The condition that $ReR = R$ in the above proposition is necessary. For example ([4, Example 9]), let R be the algebra of matrices, over a field k, of the form

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} a & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set $e = e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{44} + e_{55}$, where e_{ii} are matrix units. It is clear that e is an idempotent of R such that $ReR \neq R$. Then R is right small injective, but eRe is not right small injective.

Proof. By [4, Example 9], R is a QF ring and eRe is not a QF ring. Since R is QF, R is right small injective, and eRe is artinian. If eRe is right small injective, then eRe is QF by Theorem 3.8, which is a contradiction. □

Question 2.10. *Is right small injectivity a Morita invariant?*

The method in the proof of the following theorem is owing to [8, Theorem 1]

Theorem 2.11. *The following are equivalent for a ring R and an integer $n \geq 1$:*

(1) *$M_n(R)$ is right small injective.*

(2) *For each right R-submodule T of J_n, every R-linear map γ: $T \to R$ can be extended to $R_n \to R$.*

(3) *For each right R-submodule T of J_n, every R-linear map γ: $T\to R_n$ can be extended to $R_n\to R_n$.*

Proof. We prove for n=2, the others are analogous. It is well known that $J(M_n(R)) = M_n(J), \forall n \geq 1$.

(1)⇒(2). Given γ: $T \to R$ where $T \subseteq J_2$, consider the small right ideal $\bar{T}$=$[T\ T] = \{[\alpha\ \beta]|\alpha, \beta \in T\}$ of $M_2(R)$. The map $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{T}\to M_2(R)$ defined by $\bar{\gamma}([\alpha\ \beta])$=$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma(\alpha) & \gamma(\beta) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is $M_2(R)$-linear. By (1) we have $\bar{\gamma} = C\cdot$ where $C \in M_2(R)$, so $\gamma = \bar{C}\cdot$ where $\bar{C}$ is the first row of C. Hence γ can be extended from R_2 to R.

(2)⇒(3). Given (2), consider γ: $T \to R_2$ where $T \subseteq J_2$. Let $\pi_i : R_2 \to R$ be the ith projection, then (2) provides an R-linear map $\gamma_i : R_2 \to R$ extending $\pi_i \circ \gamma$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\bar{\gamma} : R_2 \to R_2$ extends γ where $\bar{\gamma}(\bar{x}) = [\gamma_1(\bar{x})\ \gamma_2(\bar{x})]^T$ for all $\bar{x} \in R_2$.

(3)⇒(1). Write $S = M_2(R)$, consider γ: $T \to S_S$ where T is a small right ideal of S. Then T=$[T_0\ T_0]$ where $T_0 = \{\bar{x} \in J_2 \mid [\bar{x}\ 0] \in T\}$ is a right R-submodule of J_2. Moreover, the S-linearity shows that $\gamma[\bar{x}\ 0] = [\bar{y}\ 0]$ for some $\bar{y} \in R_2$, and writing $\bar{y} = \gamma_0(\bar{x})$ yields an R-linear map γ_0: $T_0 \to R_2$ such that $\gamma[\bar{x}\ 0] = [\gamma_0(\bar{x})\ 0]$ for all $\bar{x} \in T_0$. Then γ_0 extends to an R-linear map $\bar{\gamma} : R_2 \to R_2$ by (3). Hence $\gamma_0 = C\cdot$ for some $C \in S$. If $[\bar{x}\ \bar{y}] \in T$ it follows that $\gamma([\bar{x}\ \bar{y}]) = \gamma([\bar{x}\ 0] + [\bar{y}\ 0]\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = [\gamma_0(\bar{x})\ 0] + [\gamma_0(\bar{y})\ 0]\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = [C\bar{x}\ C\bar{y}] = C[\bar{x}\ \bar{y}]$, which shows $\gamma = C\cdot$. □

A ring R is called right mininjective if every R-homomorphism from a minimal right ideal of R to R_R can be extended from R_R to R_R. R is called left minannihilator if every minimal left ideal is a left annihilator.

Proposition 2.12. *If R is right small injective, then*

(1) *R is right mininjective.*

(2) $\mathbf{l}(I \cap L) = \mathbf{l}(I) + \mathbf{l}(L)$, *for any small right ideals I and L of R.*

(3) *Every principal small left ideal of R is a left annihilator, so R is left minannihilator.*
(4) $\mathbf{l}(bR \cap \mathbf{r}(a)) = \mathbf{l}(b) + Ra$, $\forall b \in R, a \in J$.

Proof. For (1), since every minimal one-sided ideal of R is either nilpotent or a direct summand of R (see [5, (10.22) Brauer's Lemma]), each right small injective ring is right mininjective. But the converse is not true (see Example 2.13).
(2) and (3) by the similar proof of [1, Lemma 30.9].
(4) see [13, Lemma 1.2]. □

Example 2.13. (The Björk Example [9, Example 2.5]) A right mininjective ring may not be right small injective. Let F be a field and assume that $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ is an isomorphism $F \mapsto \bar{F} \subseteq F$, where the subfield $\bar{F} \neq F$. Let R denote the left vector space on basis {1,t}, and make R into an F-algebra by defining t^2=0 and ta=$\bar{a}$t for all $a \in F$. Then R is a right mininjective ring but not a right small injective ring.

Proof. It is mentioned in [9, Example 2.5] that R is semiprimary, right mininjective but not left mininjective. If R is right small injective, then it is right self-injective by Theorem 3.4. Thus it is a right PF ring, which shows R is left mininjective by [9, Theorem 5.57], a contradiction. □

A ring R is called right Kasch if every simple right R-module can embed into R_R.

Proposition 2.14. *If R is right small injective and right Kasch, then*
(1) $\mathbf{rl}(I) = I$ *for every small right ideal I of R.*
(2) *The map $\theta : T \to \mathbf{l}(T)$ from the set of maximal right ideals T of R to the set of minimal left ideals of R is a bijection. And the inverse map is given by $K \longmapsto \mathbf{r}(K)$, where K is a minimal left ideal of R.*
(3) *For $k \in R$, Rk is minimal if and only if kR is minimal, in particular $S_r = S_l$.*

Proof. (1) is by [13, Lemma 2.4 (3)].
(2) is informed by [9, Theorem 2.32 (b)] and Proposition 2.12 (3).
For (3), if Rk is minimal, then $\mathbf{r}(k)$ is maximal by (2) which shows kR is also minimal. Conversely, if kR is minimal, then Rk is minimal by [9, Theorem 2.21 (a)]. □

3. SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN SMALL INJECTIVITY AND OTHER INJECTIVITIES

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a semilocal ring and I a right ideal of R, then every homomorphism from a right ideal to I can be extended to an endomorphism of R_R if and only if every homomorphism from a small right ideal to I can be extended to an endomorphism of R_R .*

Proof. (i) " $\Longrightarrow$ " is obvious.

(ii)" $\Longleftarrow$ " Let f be a homomorphism from a right ideal K of R to I. Since R is semilocal, there exists a right ideal L of R such that $K + L = R$ and $K \cap L \subseteq J$(see [6, Corollary 3.2]). Thus $K \cap L$ is small and there exists an endomorphism g of R_R such that $g(x) = f(x)$, $\forall x \in K \cap L$. Define F: $R_R \longrightarrow R_R$ such that for any $x = k + l, k \in K, l \in L$, $F(x) = f(k) + g(l)$. Now we prove that F is well defined. If $k_1 + l_1 = k_2 + l_2, k_i \in K, l_i \in L, i = 1, 2$, then $k_1 - k_2 = l_2 - l_1 \in K \cap L$. Hence $f(k_1 - k_2) = g(l_2 - l_1)$, which shows $F(k_1 + l_1) = F(k_2 + l_2)$. Thus F is an endomorphism of R_R such that $F_{|K}$=f. □

A right ideal I of R is said to lie over a summand of R_R if there exists a direct decomposition $R_R = P_R \oplus Q_R$ with $P \subseteq I$ and $Q \cap I$ is small in R. In this case, $I = P \oplus (Q \cap I)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a ring and I a right ideal of R. If every (m-generated) right ideal lies over a summand of R_R, then every homomorphism from an (m-generated) small right ideal to I can be extended to an endomorphism of R if and only if every homomorphism from an (m-generated) right ideal to I can be extended to an endomorphism of R.*

Proof. Let K be any (m-generated) right ideal of R and f a homomorphism from K to I. Since K lies over a summand of R_R, there exists an idempotent $e^2 = e \in R$ such that $K = eR \oplus L$, where $L \subseteq J$ is an (m-generated) small right ideal. Now we show that $K = eR \oplus (1-e)L$. It is obvious that $eR + (1-e)L$ is a direct sum. If $x \in eR \oplus L$, then $x = a + b$, for some $a \in eR$, $b \in L$. Thus $x = a + eb + (1-e)b \in eR \oplus (1-e)L$. On the other hand, if $y \in eR \oplus (1-e)L$, write $y = c + (1-e)d$ where $c \in eR$, $d \in L$. Hence $y = c + (1-e)d = (c - ed) + d \in eR \oplus L$. As J is an ideal which is a small right ideal, so $(1-e)L \subseteq J$ is also an (m-generated) small right ideal. Since every homomorphism from an (m-generated) small right ideal to I can be extended to an endomorphism of R, there exists a homomorphism g from R_R to R_R such that $g_{|(1-e)L} = f_{|(1-e)L}$. Now we define F from R_R to R_R such that $F(x) = f(ex) + g((1-e)x)$, whence F is a well defined homomorphism. Then for every $x = a + b \in K = eR \oplus (1-e)L$ where $a \in eR$, $b \in (1-e)L$, $F(x) = f(ex) + g((1-e)x) = f(a) + g(b) = f(a) + f(b) = f(a+b) = f(x)$, which shows that $F_{|K} = f$.

The converse is obvious.

□

Let I, K be two right ideals of R and $m \geq 1$. R is called right (I, K)-m-injective (see [13]) if, for any m-generated right ideal $U \subseteq I$ and any R-homomorphism from U_R to K_R can be extended from R_R to R_R. A ring R is called right simple injective (right simple J-injective) if for any homomorphism from a right ideal (small right ideal) of R to R_R with simple image can be extended from R_R to R_R. R is called right (m-injective) F-injective if every homomorphism from an (m-generated) finitely generated right ideal of R to R_R can be extended from R_R to R_R. R is called right (I, K)-FP-injective if, for any $n \geq 1$ and any finitely generated R-submodule N of I_n, every R-homomorphism $f : N \to K$ can be extended to an R-homomorphism $g : R_n \to R$. R is right FP-injective if R is right (R, R)-FP-injective.

Lemma 3.3. [13, Lemma 1.3] *The following are equivalent for two right ideals I and K of R:*
(1) *R is right (I,K)-FP-injective.*
(2) *$M_n(R)$ is right $(M_n(I), M_n(K))$-1-injective for every $n \geq 1$.*

A ring R is called semiregular if R/J is von Neuman regular and idempotents lift modulo J.

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a ring, we have*
(1) *If R is semilocal, then R is right self-injective if and only if R is right small injective.*
(2) *If R is semilocal, then R is right simple injective if and only if R is right simple J-injective.*
(3) *If R is semiregular, I is a right ideal of R. Then R is right (J,I)-m-injective if and only if R is right (R,I)-m-injective. In particular, R is right (J,S_r)-m-injective if and only if R is right (R,S_r)-m-injective, R is right (J,R)-m-injective if and only if R is right m-injective, R is right F-injective if and only if R is right (J,R)-k-injective, $\forall k \geq 1$.*
(4) *If R is semiregular, then R is right (J,R)-FP-injective if and only if R is right FP-injective.*

Proof. (1) and (2) by Lemma 3.1. For (3), since R is semiregular, every finitely generated right (or left) ideal of R lies over a summand of R (see [7, Theorem 2.9]). Then (3) is clear by Lemma 3.2. Since semiregularity is a Morita invariant (see [7, Corollary 2.8]) and $J(M_n(R))=M_n(J)$, (4) is followed by (3) and Lemma 3.3 .

□

Since a semiperfect ring is both semilocal and semiregular, we have

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be a semiperfect ring, we have*
(1) *R is right self-injective if and only if R is right small injective.*
(2) *R is right simple injective if and only if R is right simple J-injective.*
(3) *Let I be a right ideal of R. Then R is right (J,I)-m-injective if and only*

if R is right (R,I)-m-injective. In particular, R is right (J,S_r)-m-injective if and only if R is right (R,S_r)-m-injective, R is right (J,R)-m-injective if and only if R is right m-injective, R is right F-injective if and only if R is right (J,R)-k-injective, $\forall k \geq 1$.

(4) *R is right (J,R)-FP-injective if and only if R is right FP-injective.*

Corollary 3.6. [13, Theorem 2.11] *Let R be a semiperfect ring with $S_r \subseteq^{ess} R_R$, then*

(1) *If R is right (J,S_r)-$(m+1)$-injective, then R is right (R,S_r)-m-injective.*

(2) *If R is right (J,R)-$(m+1)$-injective, then R is right m-injective.*

(3) *If R is right simple J-injective, then R is right simple injective.*

(4) *If every homomorphism from a small right ideal of R to R can be extended to an R-homomorphism from R_R to R_R, then R is right self-injective.*

Theorem 3.7. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is right PF.*

(2) *R is a semilocal, right small injective ring with $S_r \subseteq^{ess} R_R$.*

Proof. It is obvious that (1) implies (2). By Theorem 3.4, the ring satisfying (2) is right self-injective, which shows that idempotents can be lifted modulo J. Hence R is semiperfect. Thus (2) implies (1). □

Theorem 3.8. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is QF;*

(2) *R is right (or left) perfect, right and left small injective;*

(3) *R is a semilocal and right small injective ring with ACC (or DCC) on right annihilators.*

(4) *R is a right small injective ring with ACC on right annihilators in which $S_r \subseteq^{ess} R_R$.*

(5) *R is a semiregular and right small injective ring with ACC on right annihilators.*

Proof. It is obvious that (1)⇒ (2), (3), (4) and (5). It is proved in [12, Lemma 2.11] that a right mininjective ring with ACC on right annihilators in which $S_r \subseteq^{ess} R_R$ is semiprimary. Then the rings in (2)-(4) are all semilocal rings. Hence they are all right self-injective by Theorem 3.4. Thus (2), (3) and (4) are clear by [3, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 4.1(b)]. For (5), R is right F-injective by Theorem 3.4. Then (5) is implied by [11, Corollary 3]. □

4. EXTENSIONS OF SMALL INJECTIVE RINGS

Given a ring R and a bimodule ${}_RV_R$, the trivial extension of R by V is the ring $S = R \propto V = \{(r, v) : r \in R, v \in V\}$ with the usual addition and multiplication $(r, v)(r', v') = (rr', rv' + vr')$. In fact, $R \propto V$ is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices $\begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}$ where $r \in R$ and $v \in V$ with the usual matrix operations. For convenience, we let $I \propto V = \{(r, v) : r \in I, v \in V\}$ where I is a subset of R. Clearly, V is an ideal of S, $V^2 = 0$ and $S/V \cong R$. The Jacobson radical of S is $J(R) \propto V$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $S = R \propto V$, where R is a ring and ${}_RV_R$ a bimodule of R. If S is right small injective, then V is self-injective as a right R-module and $R = End\ V_R$ canonically.*

Proof. Let K_R be a right R-submodule of V and f a right R-homomorphism from K to V. Then $\{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : a \in K\}$ is a small right ideal of S. Now we define g from $\{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : a \in K\}$ to S such that $g(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, a \in K$. It is clear that g is right S-linear. Since S is right small injective, there exists $\begin{bmatrix} b & c \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \in S$ satisfying $g(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b & c \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & ba \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus $f(a) = ba, \forall a \in K$, which shows that V_R is right self-injective and $R =$ End V_R canonically. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $S = R \propto V$, where R is a ring and ${}_RV_R$ a bimodule of R. If V is injective as a right R-module. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *S is right self-injective;*

(2) *S is right small injective;*

(3) *$R = End\ V_R$ canonically;*

Proof. By [2, Theorem 2] and the above proposition. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let R be a ring and $S = R \propto R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is right self-injective;*

(2) *S is right self-injective;*

(3) *S is right small injective;*

Remark 4.4. In the above corollary, R is right small injective can not implies that S is right small injective. For example, let S=$\mathbb{Z} \propto \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is semiprimitive, $\mathbb{Z}$ is right small injective. But S can not be right small injective. If not, by the above corollary, we have that $\mathbb{Z}$ is self-injective, which is a contradiction.

If R and S are rings and ${}_RV_S$ is a bimodule, the formal triangular matrix ring of R and S by V is the ring $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ of all matrices $\begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$ where $r \in R, s \in S, v \in V$ with the usual matrix operations. It is clear that $J(U) = \begin{bmatrix} J(R) & V \\ 0 & J(S) \end{bmatrix}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ is right small injective. Then:*

(1) *S is right small injective.*

(2) *For every right S-submodule K of V_S, $\mathrm{Hom}_S(K, S) = 0$.*

(3) *If $\gamma : K_S \to V_S$ is S-linear, where K is an S-submodule of V_S, then $\gamma = r\cdot$ for some $r \in R$.*

(4) *$X_S \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} V_S \\ J(S) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\theta : X_S \to \begin{bmatrix} V_S \\ S \end{bmatrix}$ is S-linear, then $\theta = C\cdot$ for some $C \in U$.*

Proof. (1). If $\gamma : T \to S_S$, where T is a small right ideal of S and γ is S-linear. Then $\bar{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$ is a small right ideal of U and $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{T} \to U$ is S-linear by defining $\bar{\gamma}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma(t) \end{bmatrix}$. Hence $\bar{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \cdot$, for some $r \in R, s \in S, v \in V$. Thus $\gamma = s\cdot$.

(2). Let $\gamma : K_S \to S_S$ where K_S is a right S-submodule of V_S. Then $\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a small right ideal of U and $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{K} \to U$ is U-linear by defining $\bar{\gamma}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma(k) \end{bmatrix}$. Hence $\bar{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \cdot$, for some $r \in R, s \in S, v \in V$. So $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & rk \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, which implies $\gamma = 0$.

(3) If γ is as given, then $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{K} \to U$ is U-linear if we define $\bar{\gamma}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma(k) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Hence $\bar{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \cdot$, for some $r \in R, s \in S, v \in V$. Hence $\gamma = r\cdot$.

(4). Write $[0\ X] = \{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} v \\ s \end{bmatrix} \in X\}$. Then $[0\ X]$ is a small right ideal of U and $\bar{\theta} : [0\ X] \to U$ is U-linear by defining $\bar{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \theta(\begin{bmatrix} v \\ s \end{bmatrix})$. Thus $\bar{\theta} = C\cdot$ for some $C \in U$, and so is $\theta = C\cdot$. □

Let $S = T_n(R)$ be the upper triangular matrix ring over a ring R, $n \geq 2$. We have

Proposition 4.6. *For each $n \geq 2$, $S = T_n(R)$ can not be right small injective.*

Proof. If not, we assume S is right small injective. Let e_{ij} be usual matrix units, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If $0 \neq x \in R$, then $K = e_{1n}xR$ is a small right ideal of S. Now we define $\gamma : K \to S$ by $\gamma(e_{1n}xt) = e_{nn}xt, \forall t \in R$. It is clear that γ is right S-linear. Thus $\gamma = C\cdot$, for some $C \in S$. Hence $e_{nn}x = Ce_{1n}x$, which shows $x = 0$, a contradiction. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10171011) and the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutes of MOE, P.R.C.

References

[1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Second Edition, Graduate Texts Vol. **13** Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992.

[2] C. Faith, Self-injective rings, *Proc. AMS.*, **77(2)** (1979), 157-164.

[3] C. Faith and D. V. Huynh, When self-injective rings are QF: a report on a problem, *J. Algebra and its Applications*, **1(1)** (2002), 75-105.

[4] K. Koike, Dual rings and cogenerator rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, **37** (1995), 99-103.

[5] T. Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol **131**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[6] C. Lomp, On semilocal modules and rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **27(4)** (1999), 1921-1935.

[7] W. K. Nicholson, Semiregular modules and rings, *Canadian J. Math.*, **28** (1976), 1105-1120.

[8] W. K. Nicholson, J. K. Park and M. F. Yousif, Extensions of simple-injective rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **28(10)** (2000), 4665-4675.

[9] W. K. Nicholson, M. F. Yousif, *Quasi-Frobenius Rings*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 158, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[10] B. L. Osofsky, A semiperfect one-sided injective ring, *Comm. Algebra*, **12(16)** (1984), 2037-2041.

[11] E. A. Rutter, Jr., Rings with the principle extension property, *Comm. Algebra*, **3(3)** (1975), 203-212.

[12] L. Shen and J.L. Chen, A note on quasi-Frobenius rings, submitted.

[13] M. F. Yousif and Y.Q. Zhou, FP-injective, simple-injective and quasi-Frobenius rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **32(6)** (2004), 2273-2285.

Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, 210096, P.R.China

Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, 210096, P.R.China